

TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL

JOINT TRANSPORTATION BOARD

18 March 2013

Report of the Future Highways Manager

Part 1- Public

Matters For Decision

1 JOINT TRANSPORTATION BOARDS AGREEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Summary

This attached report sets out the updated JTB agreement and provides flexibility for a JTB chairman to vary the number of Parish representatives on the Board.

1.1 Background

1.1.1 The Joint Transportation Boards Agreement and Governance Report (**Annexes 1 & 2**) was discussed at the Environment Highways and Waste (EH&W) Cabinet Committee meeting held on the 10 January 2013. The recommendations therein were fully endorsed by the Committee.

1.1.2 Members of the JTB are now asked to endorse the recommendations in the Cabinet Committee report. Once this has been done the recommendations will be referred to the Cabinet Member for him to ratify the decision.

1.2 Legal Implications

1.2.1 As set out the report and annexes.

1.3 Financial and Value for Money Considerations

1.3.1 None.

1.4 Risk Assessment

1.4.1 N/A

1.5 Equality Impact Assessment

1.5.1 See 'Screening for equality impacts' table at end of report

1.6 Recommendations

- 1.6.1 That the Cabinet be recommended **TO ENDORSE** the revised agreement for the Joint Transportation Board and **GIVE AUTHORITY** to the Director of Central Services to complete the agreement.

Background papers:

Nil

contact: David Hall
08458 247800

David Hall
Future Highways Manager

John Burr
Director of Highways and Transportation

Screening for equality impacts:		
Question	Answer	Explanation of impacts
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to cause adverse impact or discriminate against different groups in the community?	N/A	
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper make a positive contribution to promoting equality?	N/A	
c. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		

In submitting this report, the Chief Officer doing so is confirming that they have given due regard to the equality impacts of the decision being considered, as noted in the table above.